

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/534,679	PERA ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
BRYAN WRIGHT	2431	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Non-Final

(1) BRYAN WRIGHT.

(3) Mathew Wenli reg. no. 63,173.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 March 2011

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*35 U.S.C. 103(a)*

Claims discussed:

*independent claims 1 and 8*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Finley et al. (US Patent No. 6,442,448), Carapelli (US Patent No. 6,119,110).*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner proposed claim amendments to overcome prior art and place the application in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/BRYAN WRIGHT/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2431

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)